

Let $R = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} R_j$ be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. We constructed a scheme $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = (\text{Proj}(R), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R)})$; Set $R_+ = \bigoplus_{j > 0} R_j$

Recall $\text{Proj}(R) = \{ P \mid P \text{ homogeneous prime, } P \not\subseteq R_+ \}$

The top on $\text{Proj}(R)$ has open basis $\{ D_+(f) \}$ of homogeneous $f \in R_+$

$$D_+(f) = \{ P \in \text{Proj}(R) \mid f \notin P \}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_X(D_+(f)) \cong (R[f^{-1}])_0$$

Recall, a \mathbb{Z} -graded R mod $M \in \text{Mod}_R$ such that $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda$ as an ab group

$$\text{and } R_{\lambda_1} \cdot M_{\lambda_2} \subseteq M_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \forall \lambda_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

A graded R -lin map (or simply a graded map) between two graded mods is an R lin map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ s.t $\varphi(M_\lambda) \subseteq N_\lambda \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The set of \mathbb{Z} -graded R -mods with graded R -lin map forms a cat denoted Mod_R^{gr}

Given $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, we construct a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -mods, denoted \tilde{M} on $X = \text{Proj } R$

Caution: This \tilde{M} is not the quot sheaf \tilde{M}^m on $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Note given a mult closed set S of homogeneous elts of R , $S^{-1}R$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring. $S^{-1}M$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded mod / $S^{-1}R$.

$$(S^{-1}R)_\lambda = \{ r/s \in S^{-1}R \mid r, s \text{ homo, } \deg r - \deg s = \lambda \}$$

$$(S^{-1}M)_\lambda = \{ m/s \in S^{-1}M \mid m, s \text{ homo, } \deg m - \deg s = \lambda \}$$

For $p \in \text{Proj}(R)$, set $S_p = \text{homo elts of } R \setminus p$

$$M_{(p)} = (S_p^{-1}M)_0$$

Def of \tilde{M} : Consider the presheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -mods m on X :

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \left\{ \text{set maps } \alpha: U \rightarrow \coprod_{p \in U} M_{(p)} \mid \begin{array}{l} \bullet \alpha(p) \in M_{(p)} \\ \bullet \text{For every } U, \exists \text{ a} \\ \text{open nbhd } V \subseteq U \text{ of} \\ p, \text{ a homo } f \notin U_p \\ \text{ s.t } m \in M \text{ s.t} \\ \alpha(p) = m/f \in M_{(p)} \end{array} \right\}$$

It's implicit that $\deg m = \deg f$

Recall: $\tilde{R} = \mathcal{O}_X$, so for $\alpha \in \tilde{R}(U)$, $t \in \tilde{M}(U)$

$$(\alpha \cdot t)(p) = \frac{\alpha(p)}{r(p)} \cdot \frac{t(p)}{s(p)} \in M_{(p)} \quad \forall p \in U$$

Thm: 1) \tilde{M} is a sheaf for any $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$.

For $p \in \text{Proj}(R)$, the natural map $M_{(p)} \rightarrow (\tilde{M})_p$ is an isom with inverse given by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha(p)$ for a section $\alpha \in \tilde{M}(U)$, $p \in U$.

2) Given a graded R -lin map $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$, naturally have $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$

for $t \in \tilde{M}(U)$, $\tilde{\varphi}_U(t)(p) = \varphi_{(p)}(t(p))$; $\varphi_{(p)}: M_{(p)} \rightarrow N_{(p)}$

3) $\tilde{\varphi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_2 = \tilde{\varphi}_1 \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_2$, $\tilde{id}_M = \tilde{id}_M$

4) So $M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ is given a functor $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

Thm: For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, $\tilde{M} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(X)$. Moreover $\Gamma(D_+(f), \tilde{M}) \cong (M[f^{-1}])_0$ for

Thm. For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$; $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{Q}\text{coh}(X)$.
 Moreover $\Gamma(D_+(f), \tilde{M}) \cong (M[V_f])_0$ for
 every homogeneous f of +ve deg.

Pf. Since being quasi is a local property, it's
 enough to check that for any homogeneous f of
 +ve deg $\tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)}$ is quasi.

Set $\Gamma = M[V_f]_0$. The identity map
 $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma(D_+(f), \tilde{M})$ gives an $\mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)}$ -line map
 $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)}$ as $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is quasi on the
 affine $D_+(f)$.

Claim. $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)}$ is an isom.

Pf. We check isom at the stalks at $p \in D_+(f)$.

Recall that $D_+(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(R[V_f]_0)$
 $q \mapsto q \cap R[V_f]_0 \cap R[V_f]_0 = q_a$

The map induced by $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)}$ is

$$g \in S_p, \quad \frac{m/f^a}{g/f^a} \mapsto \frac{m/f^a}{g/f^a}$$

Note $(M[V_f]_0)_{p_a} = [(S_p)^{-1} M[V_f]_0]_0 = (S_p^{-1} M)_0$

So $\tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{M}|_{D_+(f)}$ is an isom.

Rmk. So \tilde{M} can be thought to be obtained by
 gluing $M[V_f]_0$ on $D_+(f)$ for different f 's.

Thm. 1) $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \exists f$ homogeneous of +ve deg $M[V_f]_0 = 0$
 \iff for a collection of homogeneous f_i of +ve deg
 f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n s.t. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(f_i)$ and
 $M[V_{f_i}]_0 = 0$ for all i .

2) If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact in Mod_R^{gr}
 [This includes the hypothesis that all the maps are
 graded]
 Then $0 \rightarrow \tilde{M}' \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}'' \rightarrow 0$ is also exact in
 $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$
 3) $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i)^\sim \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \tilde{M}_i$ for any set I .

Thm. 1) $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \exists f$ homogeneous of +ve deg $M[V_f]_0 = 0$
 \iff for a collection of homogeneous f_i of +ve deg
 f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n s.t. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(f_i)$ and
 $M[V_{f_i}]_0 = 0$ for all i .
 [A special case is when one can choose f_i 's of
 deg 1 s.t. $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(f_i)$]

2) For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$,
 $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \exists f$ homogeneous of +ve deg $M[V_f]_0 = 0$

Pf. $\tilde{M} = 0 \iff \exists f$ homogeneous of +ve deg $M[V_f]_0 = 0$
 \iff for a collection $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$, f_i homogeneous of +ve deg
 s.t. $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(f_i)$, $M[V_{f_i}]_0 = 0$

Now assume $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(f_i)$

s.t. $X = D+(f_i)$, $M \subset \mathbb{A}^1$

Now assume $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D(f_i)$

$$M[\frac{1}{f_i}]_0 = \{ m/f_i^k \mid \deg m = n \deg f_i \}$$

$$M[\frac{1}{f_i}]_0 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{matrix} \forall m \in M_\lambda \text{ s.t. } \deg f_i \mid \lambda \\ m/f_i^{\lambda/\deg f_i} = 0 \in M[\frac{1}{f_i}]_0 \end{matrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall i, n_i m = 0 \text{ for some } n_i$$

2) Consider the exact seq in Mod_R^{gr}

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

Every nonzero form f in \mathcal{Q} lifts to a nonzero form $elt, m, of -ve \deg$ in M

Now for every form $elt, f, of R$ of $-ve \deg$

$$\deg f^n \cdot m \geq 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0 \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} f^n \cdot m \\ \uparrow \\ m \in \mathcal{Q} \end{matrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}} M_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

End of 08.11.24 Lec

$\mathcal{O}_X(n), \mathcal{F}_i(n), \dots$ $X = (\text{Proj}(R), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R)})$

Def. For $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$, $M(n) \in \text{Mod}_R^{\text{gr}}$ is the object whose underlying R -mod is M , but

$$M(n)_m = M_{m+nm}$$

- $\mathcal{O}_X(n) := \tilde{R}(n)$
 - For $\mathcal{F}_i \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, $\mathcal{F}_i(n) := \mathcal{F}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$
- $\mathcal{F}_i(n)$ is called the n -th Serre twist of \mathcal{F}_i .

Note There is a map $M_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \tilde{M})$ and so

$$M_n = (M(n))_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \tilde{M}(n))$$

Def: Y scheme, $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}$ is called locally free if \exists an open covering $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$ s.t. $\mathcal{G}|_{U_i} \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{O}_{U_i}$ $J \in \mathbb{N}$ in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{U_i}}$

Prop • There are natural maps $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$ and $\mathcal{F}_i(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(n+m)$.

• Suppose $\deg f = m > 0$, then

$$(i) \begin{matrix} \mathcal{O}_{D+(f)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m) |_{D+(f)} \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{1} \end{matrix} \text{ is an isom in } \text{Mod } \mathcal{O}_{D+(f)}$$

$$(ii) \begin{matrix} \mathcal{F}_i(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \\ |_{D+(f)} \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{1}} \begin{matrix} \mathcal{O}_X(n+m) \\ |_{D+(f)} \end{matrix}$$

Pf. (i) Using geom, enough to prove

$$\Gamma(D+(f), \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Gamma(D+(f), \mathcal{O}_X(m))$$

$$\uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow$$

$$R[\frac{1}{f}]_0 \rightarrow [R(m)[\frac{1}{f}]]_0 = (R[\frac{1}{f}])_m$$

$$\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

is an isom

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R[Y_f]_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & R[Y_f]_1 \\
 \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \downarrow \\
 \text{is an isom} & & \text{is an isom} \\
 S/f & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S
 \end{array}$$

(ii) Since $\mathcal{F}(n) := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(n)$, enough to prove $\mathcal{O}_X(n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m) \xrightarrow{D_+(f)} \mathcal{O}_X(n+m)$ is an isomorphism

ob-coh \Rightarrow enough to check the isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R[Y_f]_n \otimes R[Y_f]_m & \xrightarrow{D_+(f)} & R[Y_f]_{n+m} \\
 \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 R[Y_f]_n \otimes R[Y_f]_m & \xrightarrow{D_+(f)} & R[Y_f]_{n+m}
 \end{array}$$

Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$, $X = \text{Proj}(R)$ we want to construct a candidate mod M s.t. $M \cong \mathcal{F}$.

Caution. Such an M need not exist.

Def. Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, set $\Gamma_n \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(i))$

- Proof
- $\Gamma_n \mathcal{F}$ is naturally a $\Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_X$ mod.
 - There is a map of graded rings $R \rightarrow \Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_X$.
 - There $\Gamma_n \mathcal{F}$ is naturally an R -mod.
 - There is an \mathcal{O}_X -lin map $\tilde{\Gamma}_n \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$

Pf • $\Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_X = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(i))$, have $\Gamma_n(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \times \Gamma_n(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n+m))$

making $\Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_X$ a ring.

Have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n+m))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) & \times & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) \\
 \parallel & & \parallel \\
 \Gamma_n \mathcal{F}_n & \times & \Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_X(m) \\
 & & \parallel \\
 & & \Gamma_n \mathcal{F}_{n+m}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R_n & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(n)) \\
 \downarrow & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \downarrow \\
 R & \longrightarrow & R/1
 \end{array}$$

We describe $\tilde{\Gamma}_n \mathcal{F}(D_+(f)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(D_+(f))$
 ob-coh \Rightarrow enough to produce $\Gamma_n \mathcal{F}[Y_f]_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(D_+(f), \mathcal{F})$

Note $\Gamma_n \mathcal{F}(D_+(f)) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(D_+(f), \mathcal{F}(i))$ is nat a $\Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(D_+(f), \mathcal{O}_X(i))$ mod.

In the later ring the image of f via $R \rightarrow \Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_X$ is invertible as $Y_f \in \Gamma(D_+(f), \mathcal{O}_X(-d_S f))$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_X \\
 \searrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{graded} & & \Gamma_n \mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)}
 \end{array}$$

$\Gamma_0 \mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)}$

Then have a graded $R[\frac{1}{f}] \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \mathcal{O}_{D_+(f)}$. This makes $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}|_{D_+(f)}$ an $R[\frac{1}{f}]$ module and induces a graded R -lin map, $\Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}[\frac{1}{f}] \rightarrow \Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}|_{D_+(f)}$. Restrict to the deg 0 piece to obtain the desired map.

Thm Assume R is a finitely generated \mathbb{Z}_0 alg; i.e.

$\exists g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$ +ve deg homogeneous etc s.t.

$$R_0[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow R, \quad R_0\text{-lin}$$

$$x_i \mapsto g_i \quad \text{is sur.}$$

For $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$, the map $\tilde{\Gamma}_0 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isom.

Pf: Our hypothesis $\Rightarrow R_+ = \overline{\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \rangle}$
 $\Rightarrow X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(g_i)$

Replace g_i by $g_i^{\frac{\pi \deg g_j}{g_j}}$ to assume $\deg g_i$ are all equal = d . Note $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_+(g_i)$.

Note $\mathcal{O}_X(n d)$ is invertible for $\forall n$.

Since \mathcal{F} is coh it is enough to check that the induced map $(\Gamma_0 \mathcal{F}[\frac{1}{g_i}])_0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{D_+(g_i)}, \mathcal{F})$ is an isom $\forall i$.

Needed lemma

Lemma: Y quasi compact scheme, $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Coh}(Y)$, \mathcal{L} invertible, $s \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$. Let

$$D_s = \{ y \in Y \mid s_y \notin m_y \mathcal{L}_y \} \quad \text{m}_y \text{ is the max ideal of } \mathcal{O}_{y, Y}$$

(i) D_s is open

(ii) Given $t_1 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L})$ if $t_1|_{D_s} = 0$,

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } t_1 \otimes s^n = 0 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$$

(iii) Assume intersection of every two open affines have a finite covering by affine opens in Y . [This is immediate if Y is (quasi) separated]

(ii) Assume intersection of every covering by affine opens in Y . [This is immediate if Y is noetherian or Y is (quasi) separated]

Given $t \in \Gamma(D_X, \mathcal{G})$, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tilde{t} \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$
 s.t. $t \otimes s^n = \tilde{t}|_{D_X}$
 $\Gamma(D_X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$

Pf. (i) Quasi-compactness is unnecessary. Choose an affine open covering $Y = \bigcup_j U_j$ s.t. $D_X \cap U_j \xrightarrow{\cong} D(U_j) \xrightarrow{1} \mathbb{A}^1$, $\mathcal{G}|_{U_j} = \mathcal{O}_j \otimes \mathcal{L}_j$, $\mathcal{L}_j \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{O}_j)$

$D_X \cap U_j = D(\mathcal{L}_j) \subseteq U_j$. Thus $D_X \cap U_j$ is open in U_j . \mathcal{O}_j is open. Thus D_X is open in X . \square

Since Y is quasi-compact, we choose a finite subcover of U_j $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^r U_j$. Fix this for (i), (ii)

(ii) $t|_{U_j \cap D_X} = 0$, $U_j \cap D_X = D_{U_j}(\mathcal{L}_j)$ [This means the basic affine open given by \mathcal{L}_j inside U_j]

Since U_j is affine and $\mathcal{G}|_{U_j}$ is $\mathcal{O}_j \otimes \mathcal{L}_j$, $t|_{U_j} = 0 \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G}|_{U_j})$ for some n_j
 $\Rightarrow t|_{U_j} \otimes s^{n_j} = 0 \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j})$

Take $n = \max\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$, then $t|_{U_j} \otimes s^n = 0 \forall j$
 $\Rightarrow t \otimes s^n = 0 \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$

(iii) Since $D_X \cap U_j = D(\mathcal{L}_j)$ in U_j and $\mathcal{G}(D_X \cap U_j) = \mathcal{G}(U_j)[\mathcal{L}_j^{-1}]$ [$\because \mathcal{G}$ is \mathcal{O} coh]

$\exists n_j$ s.t. $t|_{U_j} \otimes s^{n_j} = 0$ is the restriction of a section in $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}, U_j)$ to $D(\mathcal{L}_j) = D_X \cap U_j$. This means $\exists t_j \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_j})$ s.t. $t \otimes s^{n_j} = t_j|_{D_X \cap U_j}$

Let $n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r\}$
 Set $t'_j = t_j \otimes s^{n_0 - n_j} \in \Gamma(U_j, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_0})$
 On $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap D_X$ $t'_{j_1} = t'_{j_2} = t|_{U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} \cap D_X} \otimes s^{n_0}$

By our hypothesis $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} = \bigcup_{d \in I} V_d$, where $I \ll \infty$.
 Using (ii) and finiteness of the covering $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2} = \bigcup_{d \in I} V_d$
 $\exists n \rightarrow 1$ s.t. $t|_{V_d} \otimes s^{n_0 - n_j} = t'_j \otimes s^{n_0 - n_j}$

By ^{sw} on each v_{i_1, i_2} .
 Using (ii) and finiteness of the covering $U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2} = U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}$
 find n_{i_1, i_2} such that $t'_{i_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_{i_1, i_2}} = t'_{i_2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_{i_1, i_2}}$ $\in \Gamma(U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{n_{i_1, i_2}})$

set $n = \max_{i_1, i_2 \in I} \{n_{i_1, i_2}\}$

Then $t'_i \otimes \mathcal{L}^n \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n) \forall i$

and $t'_{i_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n|_{U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}} = t'_{i_2} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n|_{U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}} \forall i_1, i_2$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{t} \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$ s.t. $\tilde{t}|_{U_i} = t'_i \otimes \mathcal{L}^n|_{U_i}$
 clearly on $\tilde{t}|_{D_X} = t \otimes \mathcal{L}^n$ on $\Gamma(D_X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^n)$

Back to (t)

injectivity. If $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{G}^n$ goes to zero

$$\mathcal{L}|_{D_+(g_i)} = 0 \Rightarrow \exists n_i \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{L}^n \cdot g_i^{n_i} = 0 \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n_i d))$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathcal{G}^n = 0 \text{ in } (\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{G}^n])_0$$

Surjectivity. Note $X = \cup D_+(g_i)$

$$D_+(g_k) \cap D_+(g_j) = D_+(g_k g_j)$$

So we can apply (ii) of Lemma above on X .

Given $t \in \mathcal{F}(D_+(g_i))$. $\exists n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.
 $t \otimes g_i^{n_i} = \tilde{t}|_{D_+(g_i)}$ for some $\tilde{t} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}(n_i d))$

Then $\tilde{t}/g_i^{n_i} \in (\Gamma_X \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{G}^n])_0$ with its image
 in $\mathcal{F}|_{D_+(g_i)}$ being t .

Thm: Assume that \exists hom ideals of +ve deg g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r

s.t. $R = k[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\text{Proj}(R) = X$ is locally

noeth. Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$, \exists a finitely gen $M \in \text{Mod}_R^{gr}$

s.t. $\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$

Pf. Choose n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r s.t. $\deg g_i^{n_i} = \deg g_j^{n_j} = d \forall i, j$

Then $X = \cup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i^{n_i})$. So $\mathcal{O}_X(d)$ is invertible.

Realize $g_i^{n_i} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$. Note $D_{g_i^{n_i}} = D_+(g_i^{n_i})$

\uparrow
 $g_i^{n_i}$ is thought of in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))$

Since \mathcal{F} is coh, $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{F})$ is a f.g $\mathcal{P}(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$

Since \mathcal{F} is coh, $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{F}_i)$ is a f.g $\mathbb{P}(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$ mod. By the lemma above, $\exists s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{r_i} \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_i(d_i))$ such that $\{s_d/g_i^{n_i d}\}_{d=1, \dots, r_i}$ is a set of gen.

By varying i and possibly increasing d_i , we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ & finitely many elts $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_i(d_i))$ s.t. $\{t_i/g_i^{n_i m}\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ generate $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathcal{O}_X)$ mod $\mathcal{F}_i(D_+(g_i^{n_i}))$.

Let M be the (finitely generated) R -submodule of $\mathbb{P}_0 \mathcal{F}$ generated by t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n .

Claim: The inclusion map $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \mathcal{F}$ induces an isom $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

Pf It's enough to check that for each i the induced map $\Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(D_+(g_i^{n_i}), \mathbb{P}_0 \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ is an isom.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\ (M[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i}}])_0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}_0 \mathcal{F}[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i}}])_0 \end{array}$$

The injectivity follows as $M \subseteq \mathbb{P}_0 \mathcal{F}$; surjectivity follows from the diag

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i}}])_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_i(D_+(g_i^{n_i m})) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & (\mathbb{P}_0 \mathcal{F}[\frac{1}{g_i^{n_i m}}])_0 \end{array}$$

where the top arrow is sur by construction.

Invertible sheaves:

Def: X be a scheme. A locally free sheaf of rank 1 is called an invertible sheaf.

Prop (i): Let \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \quad (c \otimes s) \mapsto c(s)$$

is an isom

(ii) For an \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{F} , suppose there is an \mathcal{O}_X -mod \mathcal{G} and an isom $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$. Then \mathcal{F} is invertible.

Pf (i) Given $x \in X$, choose an open nbhd U of x s.t. $\mathcal{L}|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_U$. We have a diag being this isom

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(L, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X \\
 \downarrow \cong & & \parallel \text{id} \\
 \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X
 \end{array}$$

Since the bottom square is an isom, we are done.

(ii) Check at stalks.

Prop. X be a scheme. The isom class of invertible \mathcal{O}_X -modules under \otimes operation form an abelian group, denoted $\text{Pic}(X)$ - called the Picard group or the group of invertible sheaf.

Prop/Eg. R be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. Suppose $\exists g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r$ each of deg d such that $\text{Proj}(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r D_+(g_i)$.

Then for each n , $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is invertible.

- So if $d=1$, each $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ invertible
- Assume R is gen over R_0 by deg 1 elt as an alg (i.e R standard graded, then $d=1$ and each $\mathcal{O}_X(n)$ is invertible.

Eg: We will see $\text{Pic}(A_1^n) \cong \{id\}$, $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}_1^n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}(1)$

\uparrow
 $\mathbb{P}_1^n = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n])$

End of 13.11.24 Lecture.

Def. X scheme, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is called globally generated if there is a surjection of \mathcal{O}_X -mod $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, ($|\mathcal{I}|$ need not be finite)

Prop. Note $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{G})$. So giving a surj morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -mod $\bigoplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the same as choosing $|\mathcal{I}|$ many elts of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{G})$, such that those generate every stalk \mathcal{G}_x , $x \in X$.

Def. An invertible \mathcal{O}_X -mod L is called ample, if for any $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$ $\exists n_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t $\forall n \geq n_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L^n$ is globally generated.

Prop. L is ample $\Leftrightarrow L^n$ is ample for some $n \in \mathbb{N} > 0$.

Thm. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ be a noetherian graded ring. $X = \text{Proj}(R)$. If for some $m > 0$, $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is invertible, $\mathcal{O}_X(m)$ is ample.

Pf. Recall R is noeth iff R_0 is noeth and $\exists g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r$ homs of +ve deg such that $R = R_0[g_1, \dots, g_r]$

Let $d = \text{lcm}(\text{deg } g_1, \text{deg } g_2, \dots, \text{deg } g_r)$

Lemma. Let d' be a ^{positive integer} multiple of d . Then the graded subring $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{d'j} \subseteq R$ is generated as an R_0 -algebra by elts of degree $d'j$.

Pf See

By the Prop before it's enough to show that $\mathcal{O}_X(d m d_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(m)^{\otimes d_2}$ is ample - we show this.

Recall $R^{(d m d_2)}$ is the graded ring whose underlying ring is $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} R_{d m d_2 j}$ but whose i -th graded piece is $R_{d m d_2 i}$. By the lemma above $R^{(d m d_2)}$ is generated over its degree 0-piece - R_0 , by its set of degree 1 elts. So $R^{(d m d_2)}$ is a standard graded ring.

The above modifications with the following Prop often allows to reduce a problem about non-standard graded ring to a standard graded ring.

Prop: Let S be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, the inclusion map of rings $S^{(a)} \hookrightarrow S$ (it takes deg j elts to deg d_j elts) induces an isom $\text{Proj } S \rightarrow \text{Proj } (S^{(a)})$ such that $Q^*(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } S}(1)) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(a)$

Pf: Ex.

Returning to the proof of ampleness, take $a = m d_2$,

Consider $R^{(a)} \hookrightarrow R$.

Claim: If S is a standard graded noeth ring, $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } S}(1)$ is ample

Pf. Given a coh sheaf \mathcal{G} , choose a finitely generated S mod N such that $\mathcal{G} \cong \tilde{N}$. Choose hom elts n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r of N that generates N . Permuting the order n_1, \dots, n_r d.o $n_1 < \dots < n_r$.

n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s of N that generates N . Permuting the order assume $\deg n_1 \leq \deg n_2 \leq \dots \leq \deg n_s$.

Claim For $\lambda \geq \lambda' \geq \deg n_s$, $N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot N_{\lambda'}$

Pf For $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$, choose $x \in N_\lambda$.

$$x = f_1 n_1 + \dots + f_s n_s, \quad \deg f_i = \deg x - \deg n_i$$

So f_i is sum of pdt of $\deg x - \deg n_i$ monomials in the $\deg 1$ generators of S . So each f_i can be written as $f_i = \sum \tilde{f}_{ij}^i \cdot g_j$, where $\deg \tilde{f}_{ij}^i = \deg n_s - \deg n_i$

$$\deg g_j = \deg x - \deg n_s, \text{ Then } x = \sum_i \sum_j (\tilde{f}_{ij}^i \cdot n_i) \cdot g_j$$

$$\deg \tilde{f}_{ij}^i \cdot n_i = \deg n_s \quad \forall i, j. \text{ So } N_\lambda = S_{\lambda - \deg n_s} \cdot N_{\deg n_s}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } N_\lambda &= S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot S_{\lambda' - \deg n_s} N_{\deg n_s} \\ &= S_{\lambda - \lambda'} \cdot N_{\lambda'} \end{aligned}$$

Claim: For $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$; $\tilde{N}(\lambda)$ is glb gen.

Pf Take $\lambda \geq \deg n_s$.

- Since S is noether, N f.g, \exists homo elts d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t generating N_λ as an S_0 -mod.

Consider the graded map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus^t S & \longrightarrow & N(\lambda) \\ \downarrow & \xrightarrow{1} & \downarrow \\ & & d_i \end{array}$$

By the claim above the map is sur onto $\bigoplus N_j$.

So the cokernel is annihilated by $(S_+)^{\deg d_i}$, $i \geq 1$

So the sheaf given by cokernel is 0 on $\text{Proj } S$.

Thus taking \sim in \mathbb{A}^1 , get a sheaf map

$$\bigoplus^t \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)} \longrightarrow \tilde{N}(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$$

Claim: Since S is standard graded $\tilde{N}(\lambda) \cong \tilde{N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(S)}(\lambda)$

Pf: Ex.

Recall $a = \dim k$ and $R^{(a)} \hookrightarrow R$ induces an isom

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \text{Proj}(R) &\longrightarrow \text{Proj}(R^{(a)}) \text{ such that } \varphi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R)}(1)) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(R^{(a)})}(1) \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{Q} is an isom, pull back of an ample sheaf
is ample. Thus $\mathcal{O}_P(dm+e)$ is ample $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(d)$ is ample.